International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research

SOME OPEN ISSUES CONCERNING THE RESOCIALIZATION OF THE CONVICTED PEOPLE

1. MitasinBegiri

Lecturer in the Law faculty of FON University in Skopje

2. QebirAvziu

Lecturer in the Law faculty of State University of Tetovo

Abstract

Today, it is rightly considered that issues related to the selection and use of tools and methods with which the resocialization (treatment) is realized, has increasingly become the main issue of penology. The issuesthatoccur as most actual andtypicalwill be examinedhere inshortlines. Usually, when it comes to general principles the notion treatment, deals with all criminal sanctions, but it requires the application of tools and methods specific to every sanction separately, depending on its specific nature. Given the more frequent application of imprisonment, as the most used measure to fight criminality, today, without reason, the utmost attention is fixed on the treatment applied during the conduction of this sentence. Therefore, not coincidentally also this term (treatment) is exactly identified with this sentence. Regarding this definition the need for clear subject - substantial definition of the notion treatmentin general and its elaboration and processing related with specific way sofimplementationand execution of certain penal sanctions is also emphasized. In this regard, fully entitled are those authors who claim that the treatment for thesentencesofinstitutional characterandthoseofnon-institutionalcharactercan not (and should not) be the same. Here it comes to qualitative substantial differences that require the application of clear means and methods, defined and differentiated in the realization of resocialization.

Key words: resocialization, prison, serving the sentence, delinquent, convict

The modern penological thinking

Currently facesthe issue of different attitudes about the nature of the treatment and consequently of the resocialization. More concretely, the problem is expressed through the dilemma - if resocialization is in a positive correlation report

aforementioned question.

with the repressionorhe(the repression) precludes therealization of the process of resocialization. More specifically - are the elements of repression implied with the resocialization? That by its essence is included in the sentence itself. With other words, herethe issue is theway of the "reconciliation" of two fundamentally different values - of theresocialization and repression which by the nature of things are incompatible and contradictory. Some authors are deeply convinced that this components exclude each other, thus each attempt to find ways of their "coexistence" would lead to improvisation and not in finding the answer to the

In their essence, in the currentlevel of social development, the penalties are ofrepressiveresponsetoincriminatingbehaviour. Indeed, penitentiary institutions, by their constitution and their nature are typical repressive institutions, which are completely understandable, because, taken as a whole, the preventive meaning and force of penal sanctionsis based on elements of intimidation in corporated in them. For this reason, all those thoughts that lead to the conclusion that the resocialization that is implemented through various methods of treatment and that aims to reduce repression as much as possible will not correspond with the reality, However, the factthat thesocialization in allpenalsanctionsactuallycontainsrepression, should be emphasized, but not only that this is not in the forefront, but it also differsin termsof content and is displaced to second segment, in that portionin which it shouldcreatetemperance of the prisoner, self-discipline, discipline in the aspect to be fitandtoaccept even thetreatmentthatisnotwelcomed by him. With other words, reeducation can not be based on repression initsclassical elementary meaning. For this reason, the contemporary penological thinking that bases the application of penal sanctions, especially the sentencing, on humanity, on the respect for human the dignity of the person and in elevation of the personal responsibility of the convicted, aims to eliminate, to limit and to reduce the repression as much as possible during the abovementioned implementation(Tkacevski, 1971), in order tocreatereal premisesfor resolving contradictions between the impact of repression in resocialization and realization of there-education process.

Resocialization - can be realized only if the convict takes part or cooperates in this direction. But this is the area where the problem occurs. With other words howto exerciseinfluenceon the individualto cooperatewhenheexperiences the sentenceassomethingunpleasant, as a burdenandoftenasinjustice. In such a situation it is really difficult to activate the internal mechanisms thatwillaffect the personal commitmentduring the process of resocialization. A lot of effort, a high degree of professionalism, greating enuity and tactis required to

determinewhichtreatment methodswith its contentandmanner of implementationwillmotivateandencouragethe inmateto becomecommittedanddisciplined. These procedures that will affect the participation of the inmate for his resocialization, will be a of great assistance in overcoming the objections that occur between socialization and repression, in the efforts for the convict to become an active factor of the reeducation process rather than its object, in which case he will not experience these actions as a liability but as a personal engagement and self-awareness inefforts totransform in life.

Study of the offender's personality -is an essential premise to achieve the Thisisalreadyanindisputablefactinpenologyaswell objectives of. contentrespectivelythe register ofmeasures, of actions and activities, through which the personality of the delinquent should be analyzed, are uncontested. comes toissuesrelatedtosuch adepth analysis, However, whenit ofthe withitsphasesandglobalsubjects that must be performed, many dilemmas arise. With other words, when it comes to the principle of personality analysis, usuallyone thinksof the activitiesundertaken by the competentteamofthe penitentiaryforthis purpose, in order to define theactions that needtocreatethe content of the treatment in the penitentiary institution. But, the personality analysis implies more viewpoints, some phases and the participation of many other subjects, alongside thoseof thegroup of the professionalsector for prison admission. Thus, the initial activities that should be undertaken are related to he creator-deed relation. Criminal offense clarifies a lot of important elements related to thedelinquent'spersonality: motives, severityofthe conflictandthe emotional stateat the time ofthe offense, socialconditionsthat havedetermined the incriminatingact. With other words, the personality analysis also includes the prosecution bodies precisely taking me as uresthatare important notonlyto proveguilt, to conclude the responsibility and to sentence, but also because they are in direct function of the resocialization, that is very essentialfrom the viewpoint of the issuethatiselaborated. Forthese reasons, during theproceedingsofthe socalled classical measures related to the discovery and examination of the act, the body of attendancehas to accessandrevealthe reasons that causedthe perpetratorto do that exact offense in that exact manner, from another viewpoint.

In other words, respect not only for the penal - juridical aspects but also the criminological - legal aspects of the act and of its perpetrator. For these reasons, the personality analysis of the delinquent begins much earlier. Thus, the penal proceeding whose final purpose is the implementation of the penal sanction and prevention of the delinquent forcommitting offensesinthe futuregets another function, emphasizing the necessity for the process of resocialization to begin much earlier, respectivelyever since thefirst processor measuresagainst the

delinquentare taken. Otherwise, all these proceedings will be in the function of the requests oriented towards the changeof thecharacterof repressionorreliefof it - approach that will directly contribute for the successful and comprehensive realization of the purposes of penalty and resocialization.

Setting socialization as a fundamental purpose of the enforcement of penal sanctions simultaneouslys tressestheneed for the existenceofsuch a developed and rich penal sanctionsystem that would be consistent with the needs for specific treatment of different convicted persons, according their criminological characteristics, and their needs. Inthiscase, thereis no claimfor some system ofcriminalsanctionsthatwould respond toeachperson, because this is neither possible necessary. However, within nor the defined and scientifically verified typologies of delinquents, is well knownthatthere must be adeveloped systemofpenal sanctionsthatwillmeet the needs for treatment andrehabilitation of that type and category of delinquents. From this perspective, the system of penal sanctions should be rich regarding the sanctions and the possibility that any sanction during implementation should ensuremodificationsinterms ofduration, conditions of realization, treatment methods and procedures, etc. With other words, a developed system of penal sanctions should be characterized with the possibility for mutual combination of sanctions and for their completionorinterconnectionduring various stages of the resocialization process (Arnaudovski, 1988; Lazareviq, 1970).

Legislative organization associated with the system of sanctions- With other words, according to the opinion of many authors, one can conclude that even though it is basically organized in a broad manner, withan extremelywide scale (from milder forms of warning to more severe imprisonment sentences) and that it characterized bγ diversity, adaptabilityandaccordance (despite factthatitslegislativewidth impoverishes in the practical realization) however such "high assessments" of our system of sanctions, whenit comes tocertain specificcategoriesofdelinquents (delinquency by negligence, delinquency inroad massivecriminality, organized crime, etc) shouldbe cautionbecause itdoes notsufficientlyrespect thespecificsidesofparticular types ofcrime (Kralev, 1997; Arnaudovski, 1984).

Penology, dealingwiththe issue ofindividualism of the sentenceinits enforcement can not stop to treatment of these issues in legal-penal sciences where the division is still made into: legalindividualism, judiciary individualism and penitentiary individualism. The pointhere is not to highlight certain specific types of individualism, but their improper harmonization and their orientation towards the fundamental goal. With other words, the meaning of any individualism of the penal sanction is the

achievement of its goal of implementing, andas it is known, allthis is donein the phaseofsanction implementation. If this is so, in that case preliminary stages ofindividualismshould consider the meaning of the penitentiary individualism which basically means providing different treatment and approach in the implementation of various types of penal sanctions. The basis on which individualism is placed is different, dependant on the type of penal sanction: institutional or non-institutional.

One of the fundamental issues of realization of the definition for resocializationis the existing conditions and premises for its realization in practice as a complete concept. With other words, an illogical condition is present that it is a new concept in implementation of penal sanctions that is realized in relatively unchanged conditions and relations in the old penitentiary institutions built upon the idea of repression and retribution, with a relatively same professional and other staff that finds very hard to change their attitude towards sentence and work style.

Issues do not stand only in penitentiary institutions but also in the entire system of determination and production of guilt as well as in the sentence that as it is known are based on the weight of the offense. Such experience rightly imposes the view that socialization can not be realized if all the organs in penal proceedings do notoperateasan uniquemechanism, guidedbythe fundamentalobjectivein the implementation and execution of the sentence. Infavour of this "goes" the practice of the courts wherevet verdicts and other court acts and documents "is being talked" aboutrevenges, remorse, deterrence by punishment etc (Bauer, 1957). Also, it can be saidthattoday there are no authorswho do not accept the concept and definitionofresocialization, but even these remain in the position that its realization is not possible if (besides gentleandhumane) the rehabilitation contentisdeprivedofretributiveandrepressiveelements, and as thev sav, notdepending on the needbutasan integral partoftreatment. Indeed, these elements are encountered in our legislature too. The existing Law on sanctioning uses the term "serving the sentence". Whilst it is about an unpleasant suffering, something that is experienced, about something that is felt likean evilthat mustbe overcome. This doesn't mean that the sentence should not be considered as an affliction, but in the penological sense, but because of the resocialization it should have another meaning (Peters).

Inall thisbundleof unresolvedissuesrelated torehabilitation, despite this the penitentiary institutions, namelytheir systemic organizational structure and the ability implement thesentence through resocialization of convicted persons, appearasthe central problem of modernscientific penological thinking. Previously it was said that the concept of resocialization is compromised with the insistence that

it should be realized through the penitentiary system which is basically built on old concepts and anachronistic attitudes. Havingthisposition, Penologyobjectivelycame in aposition tostudy and analyze those conditions and factors of the penitentiary institutions that generatenegative impact, that prevent or obstruct the resocialization. In this case, based on new scientific knowledge its basic tendency is to avoid the prisons of the classical type that mainly are based on frightening, segregation and in expulsion of the prisoner from the society.

Elaborationofthesegeneral positions for the penitentiary institutions revealed two other issues closely related to the penitentiary practice:

- **a.** normalization ofliving conditions of the convicts in the penitentiary-correctional institutions, and
- **b.** construction of a developed system of specialized penitentiary institutions, in which the most important position will be held by those of the open and semi-open type as well as institutions for special categories of the convicts.
- **a.** Given theindisputablethesisthatthe best penitentiary systemis the onewhois closer to theliving conditionsin freedom (Cornil, 1995), The Penology science and the penitentiary practice facedthe issue-how to ensure theresocialization of convicts during the execution of the sentence in an artificially created environment that is isolated from the life infreedom and how can the inmate be prepared for life in freedom in such conditions (in terms of lack of freedom)? This dilemma was in essence the basis of the idea for the normalization of the living conditions of the inmates. This idea involves two basic components.
- The first component has to do with the respect for the personality and dignity of the convicted person and his treatment as a subject. With other words, the fact that a person that doesn't respect himself and who is not respected by others, cannotbe built with the system of valuesandnorms of behaviourthatwouldbe consistentwiththerules that in social point of vieware verifiedbytheprevailing systemin society.
- The other component has to do with the conditions of the penitentiary system in which the process of resocialization of the convicted person is being implemented. This, as notedabove, isan unnatural environmentcharacterized byisolation, strictregimeandspecificrelations. In this environment the convict is simply forced to become a good person. In this prison environment it is not very hard to maintain the order and discipline, to indoctrinate the convicted person; simply he is forced to become a good person. However, the purpose of execution of sentence lies not in the fact that the convict to be obedient, good and disciplined while he is imprisoned, but to be prepared for life in freedoms, to behave in accordance with the rules set by organized society. And in environments

wherethere is nopermanentcontrolpresentasthere wasintheprisonenvironment. Hereinemergesthe issueregarding thenormalizationofliving conditions the penitentiary—correctional institutions, such as: firstly, the living conditions, work, treatment, etc. of the convict should not differ (essentially) from the conditions of those in freedom and secondly, the prison should not present an island, on the contrary conditions for normal communication of the convict with the outside world, that will give him knowledge about the life in freedom, will give him information about what is happening outside the prison walls should be created, so that he can live with the problems and joys of the outside world, simply he should be present in the life that is flowing outside the punitive - correctional institutions.

Normalization of the living conditions in the prison means fulfilling the professional, cultural, educational, sport and physical needs of the convicted person, development of theunderstanding of initiativeandactivityfostering, with other words creation of all the premises that will affect the convict to conceive himself as a person. Intheseframescommunications with"outside world" areof a particular importance, especially the communication with the family and working colleagues; than reaching information about the events "outside" through the means of public information. In addition, normalization of conditions in the penitentiary - correctional institutions implies the common life of convicts. With other words, man is a social being who lives in groups, in communities, anditis morethan normal, it hasto do withlifeinprison. For this reason, authors of penology and criminology are unique when it comes to the concept that of alltheexistingpenitentiarysystems, commonsufferingsentencebringsthe convicts closer to lifein freedom.

b. On the issue of specializing the penitentiary – correctional institutions, respectively their categorization and typology according the needs for normalization of living conditions in them, special attention was given to the open and semi-open types of institution, because, as practice shows closed-type penitentiary institutions, with all its features and harsh regime, isolation, limited communication, etc, appear as a serious obstacle for the realization of the resocialization of convicted persons. Semi-open institutions function with minimal means for securing the convicts form escape and reduced means of repression and pressure on them. In these types of institutions, the convicts are involved in the process of work outside the punitive – correctional institution without greatersurveillanceandlife isorganizedwithminimal control, whereby especially self-initiative and self-discipline of the convicted persons comes to expression.

Resume

Today, the modern penology shows increased interest for open type institutions because they are closer to conditions of life in freedom. But, certain

dilemmas are present also. The first issue has to do with their organizational structure: shouldthey functionassectorswithin theexistingpenaland correctional institutions of the closed type or of the generaltype, or as separate penal and correctional institutions. Regarding this dilemma, some authors rightly consider that the closed type institutions situated within the houses of general character have advantage because in this manner (with specialized staff) continuityoftreatmentorassomesay, "this form of organization presentsped agogical closed field" is ensured (Lamers, 1961).

The other dilemma has to do with issues regarding instructingthe sentenced personsin the institutions of open type, specificallywhethertheybe instructedinthose institutionsdirectlyorafter servinga part of the sentencein the closed type institution. Today, more and more often the opinion that this should depend on the characteristics of delinquent's personality with all his complexities studied and analyzed in the sectors of admission or observation centres, prevails. In this regard, delinquents who meet certain criteria, respectively those who received socio - criminological positive amnesia, will be instructed directly to the open institutions, whilstothersthat are concluded for a certain verification of the reeducationprocesset, should be subject to acertain degree of resocializationin the institution of other type. Their direction to open institutionswill take place according to the principleofprogress (Srzentiq, 1975).

References:

- 1. Arnaudovski, L. (1988). Penology. Skopje.
- 2. Arnaudovksi, L. (1984). *Penoloskiaspektinasoobraqajnatadelikvencija*, Zborniknatrudovi, Skopje.
- 3. Bauer, F. (1957). Das Verbrechen und die Geselschaft, Munich.
- Cornil, P. (1955). La peine de prison, Revue internationale de Criminologie et de technigue, Geneva.
- 5. Davidoviç, D. and others (1970). Categorizationof correctionalhomesandclassificationof prisonersin Yugoslavia, Belgrade.
- 6. Gashi, R. "Execution of imprisonment sentence in Republic of Albania".
- 7. Lazareviq, L. (1970). Kaznei mere bezbednosti u savremenomkrivicnompravu, Belgrade.
- 8. Kralev, T. (1997). Resocijalizacijanasoobragajnitedelikventivozatvorski ambient. Skopje.
- 9. Lamers, E. (1961). Die OffenenGefaangnisse in der Nienderberg: Betrachtungen und Erfahrungen, bo Th. Vutenberg: Kriminologije und Vollzug der Freicheitstrafe, Strasburg.
- 10. Peters, K. GrundsatzlicheuberErzirung und Straf. Th. Vurtenberger.
- 11. Tkaçevski, J. M., (1971). Savetskoeispravitelnoetrudavoepravo (Sovietcorrectionallabour law), Moscow.
- United Nations Congresses on Crime Prevention and Criminal Justice First Congress of UN -1957.